



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

## **Patent and Trademark Office**

**Address:** COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

023601  
CAMPBELL & FLORES LLP  
4370 LA JOLLA VILLAGE DRIVE  
7TH FLOOR  
SAN DIEGO CA 92122

HM11/0707

**ATTORNEY DOCKET**

E FFLJ3430

**EXAMINER**

TURINER, S.

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

150-9

07/07/00

**DATE MAILED:**

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

## **Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks**

## Interview Summary

Application No. 09/009,191	Applicant(s) Powell DJ
Examiner Sharon L. Turner, Ph.D.	Group Art Unit 1647



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Sharon L. Turner, Ph.D.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Andrea Gashler 858-535-9001

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview 6-30-00

Type:  Telephonic  Personal (copy is given to applicant applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:  Yes  No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement  was reached.  was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: \_\_\_\_\_

Identification of prior art discussed:

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Discussion of rejections of record with respect to competition experiments and the definition of the term "selectively homes" with respect to multiple reference organs and selectivity for particular organs. The draft amendments would raise issues with respect to limitations which were not previously searched or considered in particular with respect to the removal of the dependency of the claims and comprising language, i.e., the peptides would no longer be limited in size.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2.  Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

6/30/00  
JL

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.